

December 1, 2016

John Comerford

Managing Director john.comerford@instinet.com 1-415-766-3005

Instinet, LLC Worldwide Plaza 309 West 49th Street New York, N.Y. 10019



©2016 Instinet Incorporated and its subsidiaries. All rights reserved. INSTINET is a registered trademark in the United States and other countries throughout the world.

You have received this material because you are an institutional investor that has expressed interest in receiving market commentary provided by Instinet, LLC. If you have received this in error, please alert sender to be immediately removed from the distribution list.

Down the Slippery Slope

You know the nearer your destination
The more you're slip slidin' away
--Paul Simon

Introduction

After the SEC decided to leave all marked trails in approving IEX's 350 microsecond speed bump, it was just a matter of time before some other exchange decided to veer off-piste in search of fresh powder.

The first intrepid exchange is the Chicago Stock Exchange which has applied to impose a 350 microsecond speed bump on liquidity-taking orders while proposing to allow unencumbered alteration of posted liquidity. This is an interesting idea. It might even be a good idea, but we think that there may be some profound implications of putting this particular trail on the map. In this quick note, we argue that approval of this proposal would fundamentally dilute the value of quotes across the entire market.

The Crux of the Matter

While numerous substantive objections have already been raised by others in comments to the SEC, we believe that the full impact of this proposal has not yet been addressed.

The crux of the matter, as we see it, is that a quote from CHX under this proposal would not be the same as a firm, immediately accessible quote from another exchange, but CHX's application asks the SEC to treat their quotes as if they are the same. CHX would be offering contingent liquidity, while other exchanges would be offering liquidity with no strings attached.

CHX's quotes would be inherently less valuable, but in an industry that is as heavily regulated as any in the US economy, the SEC is in a position to declare the two quotes equivalent. This is where an economist would cite Gresham's law, a monetary principle that states that bad money drives good money out of circulation. In our example we would have two currencies

Market Commentary



(quotes) that are assigned the same value (protected status) but where one, CHX's contingent quote, is of lower value. Put yourself in the position of a market maker. If you are making a two-sided market, wouldn't you be willing to bid and offer more aggressively if you are given a time window to alter your quote before your quote can be accessed? Of course you would. That's the whole premise of this proposal.

Take the argument one step further. Since contingent quotes should, all else equal, be more aggressive than firm quotes, contingent quotes should rise to the top of the NBBO heap more often than firm quotes. Under the terms of competition defined by Reg NMS, he who quotes most aggressively wins. Eventually, the other exchanges will be forced to adopt contingent quotes as well in order to enjoy the regulated benefits of quote protection.

Viewed this way, we see that the CHX proposal encompasses nothing less than the essential nature of liquidity in US markets. It may well be that a market of more aggressive but contingent quotes is preferable to wider but firm markets, but we need to be very clear that the CHX proposal is asking the SEC to make this very fundamental decision. Such a decision demands thorough examination. Slip slidin' won't cut it this time.

Conclusion

There is far more at stake in CHX's proposal than first meets the eye. The CHX application raises a fundamental market-design choice that has so far flown under the radar, a choice that has implications that extend way beyond a regional exchange. If the CHX application is approved, competitive pressures combined with Reg NMS will compel all exchanges to adopt this form of non-firm quote. We can expect these quotes to be tighter than in the current regime, but these tighter quotes will be subject to cancellation before they can be accessed. Whether a market with tighter, non-firm quotes is to be preferred to a market with wider but firm quotes is an open question, but, make no mistake, the CHX proposal poses this fundamental choice. Investors who find the level of quote-fading in the current market environment to be already too high, would do well to take heed.





This communication is intended solely for use by the named addressee(s). If you receive this transmission in error, please immediately notify the sender and destroy this message in its entirety, whether in electronic or hard copy format. This communication has been prepared by individual sales and trading personnel of Instinet, LLC, member SIPC ("Instinet") and is provided for informational purposes only to institutional investors. It does not constitute an offer or solicitation for the purchase or sale of any security. It is not a personal recommendation and does not take into account whether any securities transaction or investment strategy is suitable for any particular client. Any investment decision by you should be based on your specific investment objectives and financial situation and needs. The information and ideas presented herein are based on the observations and views of the person(s) who prepared this communication, based on various market information, data and trends, including, but not limited to, trading volumes, oversold/undersold indicators and other technical information, market color, published news stories or research. The views expressed herein are as of the time of this transmission. They are subject to change without notice, and may differ from, or be inconsistent with, the views of other personnel within Instinet or other general market information, commentary or research. This communication is not a research report, and the person(s) who prepared it is not a research analyst. In this regard, it is not intended to be a complete analysis of all material facts regarding any security, issuer or industry, and does not provide information sufficient upon which to base an investment decision. This communication is provided on an "as is" basis. It contains material that is owned by Instinet Incorporated, its subsidiaries or its or their licensors, and may not, in whole or in part, be (i) copied, photocopied or duplicated in any form, by any means, or (ii) redistributed, posted, published, excerpted, or quoted without Instinet Incorporated's prior written consent. Affiliates of Instinet may receive this communication contemporaneously with Instinet clients. For important related information, including compensation information, please visit http://instinet.com/docs/legal/le_salestrading.html. Securities products and services are provided by locally registered brokerage subsidiaries of Instinet Incorporated: Instinet, LLC, member SIPC; Instinet Canada Limited, member IIROC/CIPF; Instinet Europe Limited, authorized and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority; Instinet Pacific Limited, authorized and regulated by the Securities and Futures Commission of Hong Kong; Instinet Australia Pty Limited (ACN: 131 253 686 AFSL No: 327834), regulated by the Australian Securities & Investments Commission; and Instinet Singapore Services Private Limited, regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore, trading member of The Singapore Exchange Securities Trading Private Limited and clearing member of The Central Depository (Pte) Limited.